UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,430	03/09/2005	Jeffery A Bibbs	DIAKR.007NP	5428
20995 7590 07/25/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN ST	REET	BETTON, TIMOTHY E		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/527,430	BIBBS, JEFFERY A			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· <u> </u>	· <del></del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
· · · <u> </u>					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group II, claim(s) 1-6 are drawn to a method for inhibiting calcium T-channel activity

Group II, claim(s) 7 and 8 are drawn to a method for treating hypertension

Group III, claims 9-11 are drawn to a method for selecting calcium T-channel agonists

The inventions listed as Groups I to III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

In any of the alternatives drawn to groups I-III, unity may reasonably be broken. For instance, hypertension may be treated by a a different medication besides T-channel agonists. The compounds are especially useful in the treatment or palliation of cardiac arryhthmias, angina pectoris, hypertrophic subaortic stenosis, pheochromocytoma, thyrotoxicosis, hyperkinetic syndromes, tetralogy of Fallot, mitral stenosis with tachycardia, general ischemic conditions, and <a href="https://www.new.org/linearing.com/">hypertension</a> founded on elevated cardiac outputs due to a hyperadrenergic state. The compounds are active, both in the treatment or palliation of acute attacks of such cardiac disorders, and further can be applied prophylactically to prevent or reduce the frequency of such

attacks. This prophylactic action is particularly desirable in reducing the frequency of attacks of angina pectoris, since the medication (i.e., nitroglycerin) presently commonly used in the treatment of angina pectoris has no recognized prophylactic action.

Additional information concerning the use, action and determination of beta-blockers can be obtained by reference to the literature such as, for example, Dotlery et al, Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, volume 10, No. 6, 765-797 and the references cited therein (Edwards USPN 3,897,442).

The inventions of Groups I to III are distinct by differing limitations in the steps as disclosed. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows for claims 1-11:

Applicant is required to elect one, specific, and exact T-channel compound Formula. That is, either Formula (I) or Formula (II).

- a) For constituents R1-R18, applicant is required to elect one, single, and specific chemical group for each and every constituent R1-R18, X, Z, Q, etc. for the specific election of one core moiety from instant claims 1-8.
- b) Applicant is required to elect whether the testing step will be done *in vitro or in vivo* according to claims 10 and 11.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from each of the two groups to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is

Application/Control Number: 10/527,430 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy E. Betton whose telephone number is (571) 272-9922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30a - 5:00p. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TEB** 

<u>/SREENLPADMANABHAN/</u>

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617